

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_79434 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ROLE OF PROBIOTICS IN PERIODONTICS |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>There are major flaws that prevent it publication, as shown in the following comments: The review needs to be formatted and standardized (lines, pages, font, spaces...) Check the language and grammar. Always try to include images, schematics, and tables... Bibliography is not up to date. Only 3 works (<10% of the total literature) were published over the past 3 years. The authors didn't comment of the most relevant recent advances in the field. Some references aren't relevant to the topic and don't cover both historical literature and more recent developments. The references list isn't in the correct style and format. References is missing on many sentences. Avoid repetitive information. The writing and organization of the manuscript don't provide clear idea. Some topics are too short. Some terms are no more common, such as microflora (microbiota is correct). The <i>Lactobacillus</i> genus has been reclassified. It is necessary to update the species names. See: https://doi.org/10.7939/r3-egnz-m294 Overall, the article is not well written and structured. Furthermore, the review does not provide sufficiently interesting elements and new approaches that link probiotics and oral health. Thus, it would be necessary more relevant and recent literature, in additional for more in-depth analyses of the bibliography.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>The review topic is interesting, it fits perfectly with the new scientific research themes focused on the use of probiotic, however, there are some limitations over the review that affect negatively its overall quality.</p> | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No, there aren't. | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Hugo Calixto Fonseca |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil |