

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79429
Title of the Manuscript:	Hypertension related knowledge and its relationship with control of high blood pressure in Pakistan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Most of my comments had to be in the text. The author(s) need a good grammar checker to bring the English up to a minimum standard acceptable. Many statements in the introduction need to be revised and referenced as highlighted in the main text. There are lots of repetitions in the introduction part of the manuscript. These need to be reviewed. Authors need to be aware of the difference between "patients" and "participants" in a cross sectional study as this. References 9 and 10 were cited with results of this very study without relating results with findings from previous studies. These need major revisions to bring the study up to a minimum standard. Patients recruited 100 participants but from Table 1, the number of participants (n) is in some columns more than 200. Table 1 needs to be reviewed for clarity. Overall, the manuscript needs a total overhauling (a very major revision) to bring it to a minimum acceptable standard.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Specific suggestions and remarks are in the main text.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>GUIDE: Yellow ink.....corrections effected : Red ink.....what to do : <u>Underlined</u>.....remove</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter K. Uduagbamen
Department, University & Country	Babcock University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria