

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79380
Title of the Manuscript:	DURATION OF POSTOPERATIVE ANALGESIA WITH INTRAVENOUS NALBUPHINE VERSUS INTRAVENOUS BUTORPHANOL IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING SHORT SURGICAL PROCEDURES UNDER TIVA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Inclusion criteria does not mention types of gynecological surgeries which were included in study. Different procedures have different duration and different post operative pain.</p> <p>2. If different surgeries were included, were they equally divided in two study groups? Otherwise result will get differ according to type of procedure and duration of procedures.</p> <p>3. There are no enough supportive references mentioned showing efficacy of iv nalbuphine over iv butorphanol. Need to mention more references</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. If sedation score was 3 or more, how accurate is the VAS score given by patient under the influence of sedation. How did you assess pain in that condition?</p> <p>2. What measures were taken if patient mentioned VAS &gt;4, that is not mentioned.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1. No graphical data provided.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Krunal P. Ramteke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India</b>