

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79370
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Lung function test in zumba dancers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any kind of exercise (exercise/dance/yoga etc)can improve physical, mental and biological wellbeing. So why author included only Zumba dance? 2. Subjects undergoing Zumbapractice of experience for 3 months- why 3 months not more not less, any reason behind this? 3. Do author have any physical record of any data related to study before the study initiated, if no why? 4. Included age between 17 – 40 of any gender. Why age group between 17-40yrs was taken?. Although studies are there beyond this age. Please justify regarding this age group, please clarify with evidence if any or quote references to argue this ? 5. Do author have any records of any data between Zumba dance and other form of Dance/Exercise/yoga etc. 6. The line(Zumba exercise is an important exercise that improves physical fitness which reduces chronic disease) – needs references 7. The line(It can help lower your risk of heart disease, reduce blood pressure and bad cholesterol and boost your energy) - needs references 8. References no from 6- 25 ,I think all these are not relevant to this study. 9. Zumbadancers (30) and control group (30).Write 30 cases instead of (30) 10. The line(Other studies have also reported similar improvement in lung function tests in athletes, aerobic exercise, pulmonary fibrosis patients, etc) - needs references. 11. Ref 28.Contradict this study? 12. Is there any relation between this study and Ref 29 ? 13. Reference 19: do author think this is a relevant reference to this study <p>This study suggests that regular exercise has an important role in determining and improving lung function.(10,15): I think all this are not relevant to this study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are inadequate explanations about the novelty of the study. 2. In the absence of proper review of past literature, the gap in literature is not identified hence relevance is not established. 3. The data used and the statistical test conducted are not conclusive in nature as means & T-test are preliminary methods. 4. Conclusion, discussions and implications section needs to be more focussed and 	

Review Form 1.6

	explanatory.	
--	--------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anita Mohanty
Department, University & Country	KIMS Hospital Bhubaneswar, India