

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_79360  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTISE ABOUT DIET SODA                 |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title is too general, should be revised and written specifically according to the study.</li> <li>-Keywords of Consequences and Innovative techniques are not appropriate. Practice can be added.</li> <li>- Manuscript was written poorly. Grammar and punctuations should be checked and revised. Abbreviations should be written explicitly in the first mentions.</li> <li>- It was difficult to follow the manuscript, page and line numbers should be added.</li> <li>- Diet soda product should be defined correctly, are they artificially sweetened cola beverages or sugar-free carbonated beverages?</li> <li>- Specific and more information regarding the survey participants should be given.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- what is dental populations (as given in abstract)? are they dental students or dental professionals, or teenagers coming to dentist?</li> <li>- which location of the world was this survey done? Location or if they are dental students name of the university should be given.</li> <li>- what is the % of females/ males in the sample.</li> <li>- what is the age distribution of the participants?</li> <li>- are the participants user of these type of products or not?</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Figures should be improved visually.</li> <li>-Figures 1, 2 and 3 should be combined as one figure.</li> <li>- Titles of all of the figures should be corrected. They should be written as titles shortly, not as whole sentences.</li> <li>- In the introduction part 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph: In the future, we might see a rise in dental decay in developing countries because of modernization and due to <b>increased dietary sugars intake</b> (6): reference study is related to sugary beverages, not related to diet ones.</li> <li>- Introduction part 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph should be removed completely from the manuscript with the unrelated references: <b>Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translated into high quality publications(9–11)(12–17),(18)(19),(20)(21),(22)(23)(24–28).</b></li> <li>- A blank questionnaire or a summary table can be given as a table to follow up for the readers, because it is not very understandable in the text given in the last paragraph of material and methods.</li> <li>- Writing style of the references should be checked with the journal's latest articles.</li> <li>- Discussion part: Many research articles have proved that it is harmful.- which articles? Missing citations.</li> <li>- In the introduction part 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph: there are two commercial brands given, should be removed since they are not related with the sentence given.</li> </ul> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |  |

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Suzan Tireki</b>               |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Ozyegin University, Turkey</b> |