

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79346
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultrasound-guided hydrodissection of sural nerve for foot pain
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I revised a manuscript draft, a case report entitled "Ultrasound-guided hydrodissection of sural nerve for foot pain".</p> <p>The authors present a percutaneous ultrasound-guided hydrodissection of the sural nerve as a safe and very effective treatment for sural nerve entrapment irresponsible to conservative therapy in 57 year old female. After intervention, the patient reported complete improvement in his pain.</p> <p>I insert a continuous line number for better navigation across the manuscript text.</p> <p>The authors needs to answer on any of my suggestions for corrections in this style (Please see the red colored text).</p> <p><b>Answer to line 52:</b></p> <p><b>Dear reviewer, thank you for your suggestion about used abbreviation NRS. I am agree/or not with this suggestion. I change the used abbreviation NRS to NPRS, and I provide the full name explanation for it: numerical pain rating score (NPRS). The corrected text is in Line XX (number of the line) in the text with red color.</b></p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 52: Please define the Numerical rating scale (NRS) pain score range (0-10, 0-20 or 0-100)? You write that "...ool score was 14 at the time of presentation..". 14/x?, 14 from how much? The standard NRS or better Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS), please change the abbreviation (NRS) before and appropriate full name explanation, is a subjective measure in which patients rate their pain on an eleven-point numerical scale (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 , 10). The scale of 0 (no pain at all) to 10 (worst imaginable pain).</p> <p>Please define the range and abbreviation NPRS, precisely.</p> <p>Line 56: How you calculate 50% decrease in sensation? Please explain it briefly.</p> <p>Figure 1: The presented image (figure 1) may be subject to copyright. Please draw the anatomical figure yourself.</p> <p>Line 79: Please provide the name, the manufacturer and year of production of used ultrasound machine and used ultrasound probe (frequency, linear or convex, etc).</p> <p>Line 95: Please adjust the abbreviation NRS to NPRS, numerical pain rating score. Please check the same at line 52.</p> <p>Figure 4: Does presented picture is your own, or may be subject to copyright?</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>An interesting case report written according to all standards. Correct only small errors according to my suggestions and check the copyright of the images. I think they are from the internet and are subject to copyright, so authors should draw them by hand.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Petar Avramovski</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Republic of Macedonia</b>