

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79330
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of use of antifungal (clotrimazole) drug in reducing the number of colonies of Candida albicans and its correlation with Clinical candidiasis in the patients undergoing progressive radiotherapy for cervicofacial region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Significance of the study: The goal of this study is to evaluate the effect of topical antifungal Clotrimazole on candida colonies and its correlation with clinical candidiasis in patients undergoing radiotherapy. Candidiasis is the most common infection of oropharynx in patients receiving radiation. It can negatively affect patients' quality of life. Therefore, studying about a solution for this problem will be extremely helpful and clinically significant</p> <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This is an RCT in 64 patients divided into 2 groups with equal numbers: controlled group and studied group. - However, in the manuscript, authors did not describe their sampling method and how they randomly assign patients to 2 groups <p>Result and discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In Result part, there are some quite large tables. It's recommended authors use Figures to visualize the result more clearly. Also, even though the aim of the study is to evaluate the correlation of using clotrimazole and clinical candidiasis, the correlation efficient (r) is not calculated. It's recommended that authors analyse their data in the 2nd level (calculate r, p value to prove the difference between 2 group and the certainty of the correlation) to make the result more persuasive. - In the discussion part, at the end the authors should identify the limitations of their study. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It's recommended the author to give more specific recommendations for further studies and clinical practice. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are quite a few of grammar mistakes that should be corrected to make the sentences clearer. 	
Optional/General comments	This is a very useful study that could be published after authors make some revisions as suggested above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bui Vinh Quang
Department, University & Country	Hanoi Oncology Hospital, Vietnam