

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79305
Title of the Manuscript:	A cross-sectional study to investigate the association of depression among COPD patients at a secondary care hospital in Nilgiris
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Material and methods : pg 2 When this study as developed? Year and month, this year, 2021? The beginning of the study and end. Last year ? 2018 year ? 2014 ? . We only Know that it had taken 6 months.</p> <p>Pg 3 What was the universe that you have chosen the 82 COPD patients? 100 patients, 200 patients? Was this simple representative? How scale Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D) validation was done? Did you have published? when? What author? The article need also another point: scale´s validity and reliability in this study. <i>Patients diagnosed with COPD, for a minimum of 6 months, aged between 20-60 years, were recruited in the study</i> why this criteria ?</p> <p>Pg 7 <i>However, the results are inconclusive, as alcohol consumption is a confounding fact ????????</i> related with this , authors says that this is a factor that contributes to depression, but is a confounding fact? They need to clarify.</p> <p>Pg 11 Authors have identified a problem. This is an important result, but you need to give a solution for this problem that you identify, so it is important that the study give a solution or something more objective, concrete to help solving the problem.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>P7 need to clarify what means smoking beedi??</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Comment [E1]: Why this criteria?

Comment [E2]: I don't understand this phrase...you need to clarify .

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes : Pg 3</p> <p><i>"The objectives, procedures, and the need of the study were explained to the participants and their caretakers, and upon agreement, the informed consent was collected in their native or preferred language (Tamil or English). The consent form was also countersigned by the study investigator and dated. The study inclusion and exclusion criteria were checked after obtaining the consent".</i></p> <p><i>"The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) JSS college of pharmacy, Ooty."</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elisabete Lamy da Luz
Department, University & Country	Institute Polytechnic de Santarem, Portugal