

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JPRI_79298
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of hemoglobin levels in pregnant and non-pregnant women in Rafha city, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The result table should be edited as the table is not easily interpreted at a glance. What does the author mean by cumulative percentage? This term is not clear.</p> <p>How was sample size determined for this study? Does the sample used for this study (400 females) adequately represent the population of females in Rafha district of Saudi Arabia?</p> <p>The Authors failed to satisfactorily link intake of tea and beverages to anemia. This aspect should be clearly and scientifically presented in order to ratify the information presented in the current study.</p> <p>The discussion was poorly written and did not correlate the other parameters in the result to haemoglobin levels as obtained.</p> <p>The references were haphazardly presented in the list of references and did not follow any particular format. Authors should endeavour to adhere presenting references according to journal specifications</p> <p>The English language presented in the manuscript is poor and authors should employ the services of a professional to limit grammatical errors and mistakes in the script.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The title should be modified to better reflect the study conducted</p> <p>Authors did not indicate how haemoglobin levels were tested in the test subjects</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martha Orendu Oche Attah
Department, University & Country	Cyprus International University, Cyprus