

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79293
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of effect of use of antifungal (clotrimazole) drug in preventing and reducing the severity of oral discomforts like mucositis, burning sensation, xerostomia and loss of taste sensation in cervicofacial radiotherapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Methodology: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clearly indicate when the treatment with clotrimazole 1% started and how long did it last. Although this information is in discussion, it should be in methodology b. Clearly indicate the study design in the methodology 2. Results: It would be valuable presenting the statistical significance of your results (p). This will make possible some more coherent statistical analysis. 3. Discussion: You might compare your results with more recent works 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Avoid repetition of words, be careful with the punctuation and the spelling "fibrosis of soft tissues" = soft tissue fibrosis "Before starting (ef) radiotherapy" I suggest you retain a service to improve this paper writing</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>You should update your references</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jonas Nogueira Ferreira Maciel Gusmão</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Fortaleza Hospital , Brazil</i>