

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79292
Title of the Manuscript:	A PROTOCOL ON COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF EPIDURAL AND SPINAL TECHNIQUE OF LABOUR ANALGESIA IN RURAL WOMEN OF CENTRAL INDIA AND THEIR PERCEPTIONS REGARDING PAIN RELIEF IN LABOUR
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Pregnancy itself is ASA 2, so how can ASA 1 patients be included in this study.2. References 1, 10 are not as per Vancouver style	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I think patients with previous caesarean section can also be included as they can give better feedback if VBAC is possible in them.2. Overall nice topic for study as there is very less information and more anxiousness among Indian females regarding labour analgesia	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakshi Kadian
Department, University & Country	AIIMS Rishikesh, India