

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79282
Title of the Manuscript:	Satisfaction Levels of Remote Healthcare Simulation Amongst EMS Professionals in Pune, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The development of the Internet and online communication may be some of the most significant influences on higher education over the past 30 years. "The Net Generation" and the emergence of "Web 2.0", or the interactive web, have created a whole new world of electronic communication. The amount of work allocated to online academic activity is unanimously higher than the one allocated to face-to-face teaching. The perception of the involvement of students and teachers in the academic activity, the adaptation of these two categories to online teaching and the distress caused by academic activities in the online environment are closely linked.</p> <p>Congratulations to the author!</p> <p>Materials and methods - the technical standards of the methods are adequate and reproducible. Results are controlled, relevant and current. In the discussion chapter, the authors cite and compare their own results with the data from the specialized literature. The conclusions are relevant, supported by the data presented.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Igna Cornel
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Science University From Timisoara, Romania