

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79245
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness and outcome of early weight bearing in ankle fracture. A systemic review
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Very good review research includes :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good coverage of reviewing subject. - Extensive database. - Good explanation of the criteria for selecting and excluding researches which depended on. - Clear presentation of your conclusions. - Valuable explanation of researchers which considered in your review. <p>But:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Omit general keywords such as outcome, weight and replace them by more specific keywords such as ankle surgery, internal fixation. 2- Refer to the OMAS score and confidence limits in conclusion. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To summery table (1), I suggest you to add column of main conclusions of each research. - Some references are repeated: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Both of 13 and 37 references are the same. 2- Both of 15 and 24 references are the same. <p>Errors are highlighted using red font.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suhair M. Yaseen
Department, University & Country	Medical Instrumentation Engineering Techniques, Middle Technical University, Iraq