

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_79237  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS OF ALKASITE RESTORATIVE MATERIAL (CENTION N) AFTER BRUSHING SIMULATION WITH HERBAL AND FLUORIDATED TOOTHPASTE - AN IN-VITRO STUDY. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>It will be great if the researcher could explain furthermore of brushing simulator such as why's the samples are subjected to 8-9 hours of brushing (which is equal to around three years of brushing) was it possible that we used different hours of brushing to test. Just in case that the reader will understand clearly about the number of hours that have been set for the simulator. Also, some reference should be address at this point.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Consider adding more detail at the conclusion part such as, what's the possible reason that make it had no significant changes in surface roughness of Cention N samples with the brushing simulators?</p>  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <p>The article needs revisions and corrections again after adding some information</p>   |   |

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Soontareeporn Meepring</b>        |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Naresuan University, Thailand</b> |