

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79224
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF TAMSULOSIN AS COMPARED TO PLACEBO, IN REDUCING POST OPERATIVE FLANK PAIN DURING VOIDING, IN PATIENTS WITH URETERIC STENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Dear authors, congratulations on your manuscript. Although the great observations, I have some suggestions for a better paper. I encourage you to take a look on the guideline's journal carefully. Some of them have not been followed as the abstract length, number of keywords, and topics missing as Acknowledgments, Authors' contributions and Ethical approval (as it is a study with humans). Please advised of the p value guidelines and that the tables and figures should be placed inside the text.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I suggest a review in some grammar and punctuation issues. Tables need to be better edited for better legends and titles (as clear as possible).	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I suggest a fusion between the tables 1 and 2.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No, however, it would be interesting to include the topic "Ethical approval" in the manuscript	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Penelopy Rodrigues de Macedo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fundação Osvaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Brazil</b>