

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79215
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of the POSSUM Score's Efficacy in Predicting the Outcome of Patients Undergoing a Laparotomy - A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This work seems like a very good idea, however, in the methodological aspects it could be greatly improved, I do not see it as adequate to accept its publication, only with major changes in its structure.</p> <p>More than a study, the written one is structured as a research protocol, nevertheless, It is necessary to establish hypotheses consistent with the objectives</p> <p>It must specify if it will carry out a correlation study if it will use Spearman or Pearson correlation according to the population if it is parametric or non-parametric, when it appears it is a population of 100 subjects so I infer that Pearson will be applied</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>It does not present ethical or plagiarism implications, since its similarity index is 8%</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Juan Antonio Lugo Machado</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social -Universidad de Sonora, México</b>