

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79215
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of the POSSUM Score's Efficacy in Predicting the Outcome of Patients Undergoing a Laparotomy - A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rationale of the study needs to be clearly mentioned</li> <li>2. Objectives of the study should be written in a manner that is specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, reproducible and time bound (SMART format)</li> <li>3. Methodology needs to be described in more detail.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grammatical and syntax errors</li> <li>2. References to be cited in a uniform and recommended style</li> <li>3. Also see comments on the manuscript please.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>It would be more appropriate and relevant to carry out the study using Portsmouth POSSUM (P-POSSUM) as it has been repeatedly reported to be more accurate in predicting postoperative morbidity/mortality.</p> <p>Refs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ngulube A, Muguti GI, Muguti EG. Validation of POSSUM, P-POSSUM and the surgical risk scale in major general surgical operations in Harare: A prospective observational study. <i>Ann Med Surg (Lond)</i>. 2019 Mar 27;41:33-39. doi: 10.1016/j.amsu.2019.03.007. PMID: 31016016; PMCID: PMC6475666.</li> <li>2. Hu ZW, Xin RQ, Xia YJ, Jia GP, Chen XX, Wang S. Application of POSSUM and P-POSSUM in Surgical Risk Assessment of Elderly Patients Undergoing Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery. <i>Clin Interv Aging</i>. 2020;15:1121-1128 <a href="https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S258659">https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S258659</a></li> <li>3. Sergio González-Martínez, Montserrat Martín-Baranera, Isidro Martí-Saurí, Nuria Borrell-Grau, José M. Pueyo-Zurdo, Comparison of the risk prediction systems POSSUM and P-POSSUM with the Surgical Risk Scale: A prospective cohort study of 721 patients, <i>International Journal of Surgery</i>, Volume 29, 2016, Pages 19-24, ISSN 1743-9191, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2016.03.005">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2016.03.005</a>.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inamullah Shah
Department, University & Country	Foundation University Islamabad, Pakistan