

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79204
Title of the Manuscript:	Mebeverine Prescribing in the Outpatient Setting in Riyadh Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It may be necessary for the authors to make a deeper correlation between the researched data and the results achieved and which are present in tables 1 to 6. For this, a topic of "Discussion" can be included after table 6 that can be carried out, together with what is written in the manuscript, a more in-depth review of the results obtained by citing more references from the literature dealing with the proposed topic</p> <p>Authors need to standardize the way in which references are cited throughout the text. It is observed that they are not arranged in a standard way, making it difficult to understand the text throughout the reading</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors can think of arranging the tables in the form of bar charts or similar. This is recommended for tables 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 in order not to be tiring and uninteresting to read	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	There are no ethical issues in the manuscript. Data collected from patients were in agreement with the hospital.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Kelbis Oliveira Lima
Department, University & Country	University of Brasília, Brazil