

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79150
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmaceutical and Analytical study of Tryushanadya Lauha & modified form as Tryushanadya Mandura and their comparative evaluation for antidiabetic activity in Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are many grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "which is" may be as "that is"; "by Department" as "by the Department"; "vender" as "the vender"; "compared them" as "compared"; "which contain" as "that contain"; "for different" as "different"; "Ayurvedic" as "the Ayurvedic"; "which deal" as "that deal"; "world health organization" as "the World Health Organization"; "non-metallic" as "a non-metallic"; "it also" as "it is also"; "which forms" as "that forms"; "chronic" as "a chronic"; "The hyperglycaemia" as "Hyperglycaemia"; "is increase" as "is an increase"; "can treated" as "can be treated"; "in early" as "in the early"; "by follow" as "by following"; "bhasma have" as "bhasma has"; "sufficient" as "a sufficient"; "having same" as "having the same"; "efficacious" as "the efficacious"; "not having" as "do not have"; "digestion it" as "digestion"; "upper part of body" as "the upper part of the body"; "the constipation" as "constipation"; "It's possesses" as "It possesses"; "and beneficial" as "and is beneficial"; "the physical" as "physical"; "have same" as "have the same"; "by Department" as "by the Department"; "in vessel" as "in a vessel"; "of finger" as "of the finger"; "rubbed" as "is rubbed"; "in finger" as "in the finger"; "Bhasma are properly prepared ." as "Bhasma is properly prepared."; "of product" as "of the product"; "in sample" as "in the sample"; "at animal" as "at the animal"; "laboratory" as "a laboratory"; "Experimental" as "The experimental"; "is use for calculation" as "is used for the calculation"; "be perform in same" as "be performed in the same"; "of drug" as "of the drug"; "The glucose" as "Glucose"; "and risk" as "and the risk"; "Institutional" as "the Institutional"; "in study" as "in the study"; "in standard" as "in the standard"; "be feed with rat" as "be fed with a rat"; "bhasma have" as "bhasma has"; "Pharmacuetical" as "The pharmacuetical"; "in conversion" as "in the conversion"; "sufficient" as "a sufficient"; "having same" as "having the same"; "" as ""; "efficacious" as "the efficacious"; "intervention" as "the intervention"; "clinical trial in human being" as "a clinical trial in the human being"; "efficacious" as "the efficacious". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "different kind" may be as "different kinds"; "describe in" as "described in"; ",and loha" as ", and loha"; "chemical ,XRD" as "chemical, XRD"; ",antidiabetic" as ", antidiabetic"; "wistar" as "Wistar"; "branch" as "branches"; "be process" as "be processed"; "describe in" as "described in"; ".The" as ". The"; ",maricha" as ", maricha"; "method .It" as "method. It"; "ingredient" as "ingredients"; "etc.It" as "etc. It"; "synonym" as "synonyms"; "exacerbate" as "exacerbates"; "In Adults" as "In adults"; "attribute" as "attributes"; "be compare" as "be compared"; "consume a lot of times" as "consumes a lot of time"; "Pharmacuetical" as "Pharmaceutical"; "time consuming" as "time-consuming"; "indicating" as "indicate"; "cost effective" as "cost-effective"; "Hypoyhesis" as "Hypothesis"; "penetrating" as "penetrate"; "which help" as "which helps"; "It's also has" as "It also has"; "rejuvenate" as "rejuvenate"; "be procure" as "be procured"; "be collect" as "be collected"; "be prepare" as "be prepared"; "dry under" as "dried under"; "be heat" as "be heated"; "be repeats for" as "be repeated"; "be prepare and dry" as "be prepared and dried"; "make them" as "made"; "the combine" as "the combined"; "processibility ," as "processibility,"; "inorganic substance" as "inorganic substances"; "be estimate" as "be estimated"; "to shows" as "to show"; "model" as "models"; "each groups" as "each group"; "wistar" as "Wistar"; "be uses" as "be used"; "be house in group" as "be housed in the group"; "and maintain" as "and maintained"; "withdraw" as "withdrawing"; "be withdraw" as "be withdrawn"; "be use" as "be used"; "Side effect" as "Side effects"; "be compare" as "be compared";</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>“consume a lot of times” as “consumes a lot of time”; “indicating” as “indicate” “consuming ,” as “consuming,; “constipation .With”; as “constipation. With”; “TL ,a cost effective” as “TL, a cost-effective”; “be estimate” as “be estimated”; “be study” as “be studied”; “result” as “results”. The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, XRD, FEG-SEM, etc.,). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>4. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears and followed by only the first letter of the genus in both the abstract and the remaining part of the manuscript (e.g., <i>Tryushanadya Mandura</i> and <i>Tryushanadya Lauha</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>T. mandura</i> and <i>T. lauha</i>). And the genus name should be starts with lower case (small letter).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The methods should be rewritten since its seems like thesis an it should in brief.</p> <p>2. The discussion section seems extremely simple. The authors are advised to better integrate the results of their study with the literature with some more recent references. And also, it is highly recommended to include weaknesses of the study and potential future research goals.</p> <p>3. The authors have not arranged the references properly in a uniform format and they should be properly checked and corrected.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the “Pharmaceutical and Analytical study of <i>Tryushanadya Lauha</i> & modified form as <i>Tryushanadya Mandura</i> and their comparative evaluation for antidiabetic activity in Wistar rats”. The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by their group. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the minor shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MAJOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India