

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79148
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Under-five Mortality
Type of the Article	Theoretical

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript lacks inferential statistics. It is only focus on descriptive statistics such as frequency table and simple bar charts which is difficult to give effective conclusion. Try to include also inferential statistics such as chi-square test, correlation, regression, etc. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No need of literature review in manuscript. If it is very important for justification of the study include to introduction part. It is better to take to operational definition under methods parts There are number of type error. Rewrite again in correct ways. Write again your references according to your style of citation used in the main body of the study. 	
Optional/General comments	It very difficult to give conclusion based on the descriptive statistics. Almost it is possible to say that there is no your result goes to give this conclusion. I could not get any result support your conclusion. Try to see again your result part and include the appropriate result which takes you this conclusion such as chi-square test, correlation, regression and etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dechasa Bedada Tolessa
Department, University & Country	Ambo University, Ethiopia