

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79146
Title of the Manuscript:	Advantages and disadvantages of endodontic management
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The paper is not written well. It lacks the use of scientific language and proper scientific references. There are a lot of spelling and grammatical errors too.</b></p> <p><b>Title</b> - The title does not provide any information regarding the research.  <b>Page 1, line 13</b> – “Root canal treatment is a treatment sequence for the infected pulp” It can be inflamed pulp or necrotic debris also. Please use scientific definition.  <b>Page 1, line 14</b> – “protection of the decontaminated tooth”. Please use scientific language.  <b>Page 1, line 20</b> – It is not recommended to provide any personal details in the manuscript.  <b>Page 1, line 23</b> – “close it with biological material like zinc oxide”. Please use terms like obturate or seal. And zinc oxide is not recommended anymore. Please check literature.  <b>Page 1, line 25</b> – Please elaborate results in the abstract.  <b>Page 1, line 28</b> – “The recent advances in endodontics technology attract dental material a lot as they complete the root canal treatment in a couple of days periapical tissue undergoes a lot of changes.” This sentence is wrong. How can recent advances attract dental materials? Please explain.  <b>Page 1, line 37</b> – Please use scientific definition from The Glossary of Endodontic Terms.  <b>Page 2, line 42</b> – Please elaborate regarding the advantages of endodontic treatment, with scientific literature references.  <b>Page 2, line 43</b> – “The person's ability to process the informant is able to be processed due to stress”. Please explain this sentence.  <b>Page 2, line 50,52,57</b> – Again, it is not recommended to provide any personal details. The references 15-29 are irrelevant as far as the current research is concerned.  <b>Page 2, line 66</b> – Please describe the statistical tests used for this study.  <b>Page 3, line 69</b> - Please see whether the questionnaire is validated? If yes, please describe the procedure for validation, or provide a suitable reference.  <b>Page 3, line 78 to 83</b> – The results are biased. The study reveals that 63% of the respondents are females, and then states that females have responded significantly more in ‘hygienic ways’ and ‘weaker teeth’ questions. Instead of comparing between the genders, the authors should have compared between the different responses also. The figures can be grouped together as a table.  <b>Page 3, 4</b> – The results have not been corroborated for all the questions.  <b>Page 8</b> – The legend for figure 5 is wrong.  <b>Page 8, line 140</b> – “demonstrates that patients visiting the dental office expect pain usually larger than that act experienced during treatment.” Please complete the sentence and provide a reference.                      The discussion lacks any correlation with other similar research studies.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Divya Nangia</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Centre For Dental Education And Research, India</i></b>