

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79134
Title of the Manuscript:	STREPTOZOTOCIN-INDUCED ANTIDIABETIC ACTIVITY OF VITEX NEGUNDO, VITEX TRIFOLIA AND VITEX PARVIFLORA COMBINED PHYTOSOMAL FORMULATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract section under background, add the word "mellitus" after diabetes and abbreviate 2. Typographical error under the abstract section, second line. Add "such as instead of "like" hyperlipidemia. 3. Typographical error under the abstract section, second line. Put a fullstop after "etc". 4. Grammatical error under abstract line 8, add "the" before present study. 5. Grammatical error under line 8, remove "a" in front of phospholipids. 6. Typographical error under abstract section "HDL" and "LDL" needs to be written in full. 7. Grammatical error under the abstract section, line 19. "Furthermore" instead of "further". 8. Grammatical error in the introduction section, line 1; metabolic disorder is characterized by "an increase". 9. Most parts of the introduction lacks reference. Please ensure that every sentence is referenced. 10. Grammatical error in the introduction, line 14 "role in the development". 11. Spelling error under the material and methods (plant material) section line 1, the word "ruler" is supposed to be spelled as "rural". 12. Grammatical error under the material and methods (Screening of anti-diabetic activity) section, line 5. ..."rats were used" instead of "rats was used". 13. Grammatical error under the material and methods (Induction of experimental diabetes in rats) line 3, "STZ was dissolved in 0,1M sodium citrate" and not "O.1M sodium citrate". 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Correction in typographical error in the results section, "...was observed on the 21th day" and not "21th days". 2. Correction in typographical error in the results section, add "as shown in " table 1 to the sentence. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>This article has a lot of spelling errors and lacks references on the introduction section, please ensure that you reference every sentence.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Charity Mixo Baloyi
Department, University & Country	University of Limpopo, South Africa