

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79126
Title of the Manuscript:	The diagnostic usefulness of bone marrow examination and bone marrow culture in patients with pyrexia of unknown origin
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A lot of typo errors should be fixed - Check the format of reference list. - Authors need to focus on improving the following: Introduction: The Introduction is not very comprehensive. A more extensive literature survey should be included in this section Discussion: It is necessary to go more deeply into the importance of this work - There are many typographical and drafting errors that must be corrected 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -It is necessary that the manuscript be reviewed and edited by a native English speaker -Similar work has been done in 1998 and authors should highlight this work and what the advantages they have observed compare to that one. The paper title (The Diagnostic Usefulness of Bone Marrow Cultures in Patients With Fever of Unknown Origin) should be in the reference. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taha Alqahtani
Department, University & Country	King Khalid University, KSA