

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79126
Title of the Manuscript:	The diagnostic usefulness of bone marrow examination and bone marrow culture in patients with pyrexia of unknown origin
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please revise the parts within the discussion highlighted in yellow by the reviewer. The sense of the phrase in the highlighted parts is not clear and the scientific statement is difficult to follow. This might be because of English language, lack of scientific manuscript writing experience or both, nevertheless it diminishes consistently the manuscript quality	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is a useful tool for clinicians as it supports the use of combined bone marrow biopsy as well as blood culture for the diagnostic of pyrexia of unknown origin. The superiority of bone marrow biopsy relies on the ability to detect haematological malignancies therefore overcoming procedure invasiveness, patient discomfort as well as costs. I would recommend the author to introduce if there was any informed consent applied to patients (I material and methods only ethical committee approval but not about informed consent. There are as well several grammatical errors within the text that should need careful correction. I would recommend inserting graphical representation of results (charts) instead of merely tables as the statistic of the study can be followed more clearly and the results become easier to follow by the reader	
Optional/General comments	Overall, an interesting work that might be of interest for the clinicians involved in treating respective patients, on the condition the scientific message becomes more clear and the display of results facilitated by conclusive charts.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luminita Labusca
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Research and Development in Technical Physics Iasi Romania, Emergency County Hospital Saint Spiridon Iasi Romania , Romania