

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79116
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF ORAL CARCINOMA AMONG YOUNGER INDIVIDUALS - A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: materials and methods: unclear; who answered? about what? study design? Abstract: title is about "prevalence" but results no...</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHOS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- it would be preferable to define the studied population more precisely 2- how were the online questionnaires distributed? inclusion criteria? 3- q4: there are many more means than those proposed, why have to limit the answers? 4- the answer to question 5 cannot be evaluated, it is only declarative 5- Why limit the number of possible answers? (questions 1, 7 and 8) 6- Q9: How could this survey make them aware? was there any feedback? <p>RESULTS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7- characterization of the sample? n= ? 8- q1: it seems that the respondents could not select more than one answer? While the question was "What ARE the major causative agentS..." 9- Question 2: it seems that it was not possible to select a combination of several treatments, why? 10- finally, remark for all the questions: impossible to select 2 or more answers? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION:</p> <p>"Cancers are the most common cause of death in adults" Are you sure? https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death</p> <p>Oral hygiene and nutrition are more preventive than treatment</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Review the English language</p> <p>The two goals described in the manuscript appear to be very different (to create awareness on oral carcinoma and to study its prevalence). The study and the results are irrelevant to the objectives.</p> <p>The questionnaire seems a bit simple, without open questions or nuance (no Lickert scale).</p> <p>Discussion: it would be interesting to compare the results of the study with the knowledge of a comparable population, already published in the scientific literature. "Perfect results can be obtained only with an even larger population." : how many? analysis of the sufficient number of individuals</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Perhaps, it is not known whether the people included are minors or not	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marion Strub
Department, University & Country	University of Strasbourg, France