

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79110
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent advances in insulin delivery devices and modes of insulin therapy
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It is indisputable that the technology used to treat patients with diabetes mellitus arouses interest due to its impact on public health. So much so that the American Diabetes Association: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes published in 2019 new guidelines for the management of diabetes, where it discusses insulin administration devices (syringes, pens, and insulin pumps), blood glucose meters, continuous monitors of glucose (in real-time and intermittently digitized ["flash"]) and automated insulin delivery devices, among other items. Unfortunately, however, the manuscript in question has a low degree of novelty. The authors used data from 2011, and the IDF Diabetes Atlas Tenth edition 2021 provides the latest figures, information, and projections on diabetes worldwide. The authors made many grammatical, spelling, and formatting errors in all the text. Authors should consider adding a transition sentence to improve the flow of their paragraphs. To contribute to the work, I made careful notes in the text.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valquíria Miwa Hanai Yoshida
Department, University & Country	Pharmaceutical Technology, University of Sorocaba, Brazil