

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79086
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates on Cervical Cancer Screening in Primary Health Care
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a review article on cervical cancer screening strategies- update. Authors have tried to highlight the different strategies available for screening. On one hand they have tried to highlight the hurdles for adequate screening program in their region and simultaneously the updates in cervical screening protocols. I believe, it will be better to discuss these two issues systematically i.e starting first with the screening updates, pros and cons of respective methods and then followed by the hurdles faced with female population of their region and the remedial measures/ alternates if any suitable for their situation. 2. The authors have not clearly discussed the latest ACS guidelines. These need to be relooked for corrections and discussed in detail 3. Other recommendations by scientific societies like USPSTF and WHO should be incorporated as they are giving details about updates in screening 4. How different guidelines differ?. This can be presented in a tabulated form for clear understanding. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly discuss the literature review in your own words. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsin Khan
Department, University & Country	JN Medical College, Aligarh Muslim University, India