

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79084
Title of the Manuscript:	Spinal cord injuries in Emergency Medicine
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abbreviations should be used as per instructions.</p> <p>References shou be given at the end of the relevant sentence. For example, the sentence "Around 14% of spinal fractures cause injury to the spinal cord, with 50% of those fractures being incomplete" is not referenced.</p> <p>Characteristics of primary and secondary injury can be delineated as a table, which may be easier to follow.</p> <p>The sentence "These criteria should be considered when evaluating a person with a suspected thoracic or lumbosacral spine injury: [19]" should be expressed more clearly, are these are risk factors, or diagnostic criteria?</p> <p>As far as the radiological evaluation, what is your point of view on 'whole body scanning' in multiple trauma? Do we still need 3-view x-ray series? Also, when should we obtain flexion or extension views? What about NEXUS criteria?</p> <p>Conclusion is made up of only one sentence (without any full stop). Please revise.</p> <p>Are there indications of methylprednisolone or other steroids in any stage of SCI?</p> <p>The phenomenon known as 'spinal shock' should also be mentioned with an emphasis on the differential diagnosis.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Minor mistakes: "A abrupt,..." (p.3.)</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.J. Macnab
Department, University & Country	University of British Columbia, South Africa