

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79040
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report on Hypertension in Elderly Patients
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. TITLE - Pretty Good. it does reflect the core of the writing content (output, method) specifically and effectively (straightforward and informative)</li> <li>2. ABSTRACT - The abstract is clear, concise, and available in good. But the number of words in the abstract is too much, should be shortened. the author can shorten the introductory sentence in the abstract. The introductory sentence in the abstract should not be too long, limited to only 2 important sentences.</li> <li>3. KEYWORD - Suggestions/improvements regarding the KEYWORD: - Keywords can be added the word a "hypertension"</li> <li>4. INTRODUCTION –Suggestions/improvements on the INTRODUCTION: no</li> <li>5. Suggestions on the improvement of the RESEARCH MATERIALS and METHODS:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The authors should add the purpose and usefulness of each Therapeutic intervention</li> <li>- The author should add how long the therapeutic intervention is</li> <li>-</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. DISCUSSION - Suggestions and improvements on the RESULT and DISCUSSION:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Make comparisons with recent research</li> <li>- The author should add the diagnostic results after giving therapy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. CONCLUSION - Suggestions and improvements on the CONCLUSION.: no</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9. The conclusion of the article: The article is accepted with many revisions and must be reviewed again.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Belisario Dominguez Mancera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Veracruz University, Mexico</b>

Review Form 1.6