

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78991
Title of the Manuscript:	Ipsilateral neck/inter-trochanteric and mid-shaft femoral fractures treated with dual construct implants
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors and editor, the article has quality, however, I suggest changes so that it can be published.</p> <p>The article needs a review in writing.</p> <p>The introduction is too short, it would be interesting to add more information about the clinical exams used and the classic forms of treatment.</p> <p>In the materials and methods, it is necessary to better detail the choice of study subjects. It would be interesting if they had more individuals and with varying ages.</p> <p>The conclusion should bring direct information about what was actually obtained in this work, in this case, I suggest small changes in this one.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Improve the quality of tables and figure titles.</p> <p>Inform in the figures which patient the image is (M or f) for example</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>There is a lack of information about approval by the ethics committee and the human ethics committee approval letter must be attached to the work.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> yes, the work is carried out with human beings and went through the ethics committee, according to the authors, it went through the ethics committee, however, there is no such committee or approval number	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulo Sérgio Taube Júnior
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Western Pará, Brazil