

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78990
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on: Smart polymer use in novel ophthalmic drug delivery systems.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aim and objective of this review is missing. 2. Conclusion or outcome need to be mentioned. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recent studies and references must be included. 2. Figure 1 is not cited in the text. 3. Figure 1 resolution need to be improved 4. Reference 19 is missing in the text. 5. Page 10 section 5, spelling of assessment is not correct. 6. Page 11 section headings formatting is not uniform. 7. Article title is mainly on use of smart polymer for ophthalmic but application part is completely missing. 8. Mechanism of action can be explained with the help of diagram. 9. Few studies related to smart polymer can be included in the manuscript. <p>Conclusion This section needs to be checked thoroughly as it is not depicting the clear picture of manuscript.</p> <p>Reference</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This section formatting is required. Check ref. 26, 35, 36, 41, 42 2. Reference details are missing. Check ref. 37, 40, 42 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	English and grammar need to be checked thoroughly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinesh Kumar Mishra
Department, University & Country	Indore Institute of Pharmacy, India