

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78977
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Ischemic Heart Disease Patients Towards Their Medication
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The English writing of the manuscript needs improvement to maintain the high quality of the journal. A considerable grammatical error has been noticed as well. There is a need to make the writings more comprehensive. It is customary to explain every abbreviation for the first time and then use those. One of the paragraphs in result section has been copied and written twice without correlating with the tables. Table 2 and table 3 has similar titles. Therefore, a thorough revision of the manuscript is recommended which is not considered for publication at this stage with errors.</p> <p>Abstract To make it more concise, omitting duplication of sentences. Keywords: "questionnaire" may be omitted.</p> <p>Introduction Rationales and objectives may be elaborately stated.</p> <p>Material and methods The study period, place of study, sample size, sampling methods, statistical analysis, etc. should be placed in this section which is the appropriate place. These are all insufficiently stated in this section. Inclusion and exclusion criteria (e.g. for this analysis, the sample was restricted to critically ill patients, patients who were diagnosed with other cardiac disease, patients who were not willing to participate and pregnant women) should be comprehensible.</p> <p>Results Please note that the whole paragraph with the heading Practice assessment of study population has been repeated twice (duplicated in toto). The description of the "Attitude assessment of the study population" is totally missing. The title of the tables (e.g. table 2) needs to be reviewed. Kindly correlate the results and percentages carefully while describing them logically in the text.</p> <p>Discussion & conclusion Some of the risk factors of ischaemic heart disease have been discussed. To improve the quality of the manuscript a prior sociodemographic graph/table, including the educational status of the population in the result section may be provided. Make clear about the statement (specially the abbreviations used) in the conclusion section "respondents who were HS and HSS qualified had followed all the instructions given by the doctor".</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheikh Salahuddin Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland & University College Dublin, Malaysia Campus, Malaysia