

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78963
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant studies of thiazole ring bearing chalcone derivatives
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As only mention of 3-positive moieties for anti-oxidant study have been reported, more detailed description regarding the same to justify your findings could have been done.2. Except for the IC values given directly, no other substantial evidence is provided to support the work that has been carried out like UV visible spectra, monitoring studies, comparative plots and so on. Provide the relevant proof.3. There are some reports that synthesised pyridinyl derivatives of chalcones have no anti-oxidant characteristics For instance refer doi: 10.17795/ijnpp-10024 . So it would be better to highlight the exceptional findings in your case and justify them based on any specialised conditions.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some of the spelling mistakes could be avoided like th instead of the , shown etc. So better to make a brief spell check of the article if possible.	
Optional/General comments	The work carried out seems to be well planned, executed but the extent of communication is limited.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.Murali
Department, University & Country	Rvr & Jc College of Engineering, India