

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78954
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of sexual dimorphism of inter condylo-coronoid process distance by digital orthopantomogram in south indian population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Many unwanted references are given by author totally unlinked to the topic2. As suggested by the title evaluation of inter condyle-coronoid process to determine gender is found to be of insignificance, so what use is the study and to whom then?	
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling mistakes etc. I have added as comments to the given document	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, so many unneeded references being put	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Payal Arvind Kasat
Department, University & Country	AIIMS Nagpur, India