

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78954
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of sexual dimorphism of inter condylo-coronoid process distance by digital orthopantomogram in south indian population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title- S & I in capitals in South Indian 2. Abstract- Please correct the grammatical errors 3. Introduction- Please correct the grammatical errors and delete the insignificant line. 4. Methods- Please mention the exact points of measurement. In the abstract , 50 sample mentioned but here 49 mentioned. Please mention inclusion & exclusion criteria 5. Discussion- Explain the significance of the results obtained. Kindly correlate the findings with other studies. 6. References to be mentioned in Vancouver style 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This article is suitable for a short communication as only 1 parameter is analysed on small sample size.</p> <p>The aim of the study highlights the need for sexual dimorphism of the mandible bone but the study is conducted on radiographs, not dry bone.</p> <p>Methodology of this study not clear, exact points of measurement is not mentioned.</p> <p>More sensitive tests like the discriminant functional analysis may be performed.</p> <p>Discussion does not explain clearly the purpose and significance of the results obtained.</p> <p>Many grammatical errors.</p> <p>References to be mentioned in Vancouver style.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jigyasa Passey
Department, University & Country	Hamdard Institute of Medical Science & Research, India