

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78946
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON CERVICAL DYSPLASIAS
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- Can specify if the patient was infected or not with HPV, was she tested on her cytology or somewhy for HPV. If the patient had prior cytologies of PAP semears it will be good to include in the medical history.</p> <p>- Autor talk about the staging assessment with imaging modalities like CT and MRI, being even superior to the EUA per the literature, besides being non-invasive procedures and all the benefits it has. Maybe did the patient had a MRI or a CT. It will be very good to include this in your study if available, and if not maybe a short explanation. Why was a total hysterectomy.</p> <p>- Why was the patient treated with total hysterectomy? It appears that the patient had a precancerous condition (cervical dysplasia), not cancer or invasive disease, which could be treated with a more local or less aggressive procedure incolving just the cervix.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Avoid using terms such as My patient, My client. Better to use The patient.</p> <p>Some errors in punctuation, space and capital/minor use.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>I made some suggested changes to the manuscript that can be seen in the file I attached. Minor changes are seen in green colour. Some questions or commentaries that I think that need to be reviewed by the author are in red colour.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Francisco Morales Leon
Department, University & Country	Tulane University, Ecuador