

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78938
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the anti-cancer potential of Aegle marmelos fruit extract pro and anti apoptotic molecule in human melanoma cell line-A375
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Double-check the font style in the whole manuscript. It was found in many types of font styles. 2. Why do authors use the ANOVA Duncan's multiple range test to test the significant result of this study?? Because in the figure or data, authors only compare between 2 treatments?? It is suitable for a t-test?? Please clarify this point. 3. The result in Figure 1 and in the part of the text explanation is not related in the same way. Authors mentioned that "M-RNA expression of anti-apoptotic molecules such as BCL-2,BCL-XL found to be decreased in 400 and 500 µg/ml treated cells (Figure 1)." But in the figure was found maximum only 400 ug/ml of treatment?? 4. What are the criteria that authors use for the next step of study on gene expression? Why selected only 400 and 500 ug? 5. Rewrite the conclusion. Authors have to point on the vital result and make a strong conclusion which is supporting the hypothesis or objective of this study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check the specific word or scientific word for writing such as <i>S. frutescens</i> Some point was found the error of typing and dose not italic format. 2. Reference style dose not make a same pattern. Please re-check with the author guideline of the journal. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For suggestion, this study is fine for reporting the effect of Aegle marmelos fruit extract on the cell activity or biological function in human cells. However, more results need more adding to confirm the mechanisms or their related effect. Protein expression needs to determine. 2. The apoptosis cell such as DAPI strain also need to study and add information in the study. Because the manuscript is not clarified for the author said that Aegle marmelos fruit extract may the potential for anti-apoptotic cells. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utthapon Issara
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Thailand