

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78931
Title of the Manuscript:	Concept of Kshavathu – A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is an interesting and instructive article for the Western medicine, as it demonstrates the subjectivity of Ayurvedic medicine, based on recipes inherited from ancient Sanskrit texts. It demonstrates the inaccessibility of Ayurvedic treatments to Western civilization, as it is mainly based on the use of local herbs. As most concepts and formulations do not have a correspondence in the Western pharmacopeia, the names of the herbal medicines and Ayurvedic concepts have been capitalized. I adapted the English to the American form, correcting common small mistakes of Indian colloquial English. I also made some corrections regarding the immunology of respiratory allergy, which seems not to be a concept dominated by the author. I proposed a new title for the article, more attractive for the western physician since as it was exposed, it will find very few readers. Please, find the corresponding text attached.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celso Eduardo Olivier
Department, University & Country	Instituto Alergoimuno de Americana (Americana's Allergy and Immunology Institute), Brazil