

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78916
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Kinesio-Taping in Lateral Epicondylitis: A Narrative Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Language needs to be toned down, avoiding excessive claims: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> "Could well and truly be another curative approach." "Supporting the need for KT in lateral epicondylitis." "Erasian...conducted a study to prove if KT..." "Has been proved." The word "prove" should never appear in a manuscript. Consider "support." 2. The literature search was limited to just 3 years (2016-2019). The authors need to explain why they did not include earlier studies and would be advised to include them.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. 'Superiorly' is not a word and is redundant anyway, such that the phrase "was superiorly Better simply needs to delete the word "superiorly."	
Optional/General comments	1. Overall, the article is actually well written and exceptionally well-organized. 2. Use of the PEDro scale and presentation in Table 1 are strong points of the paper. 3. The presumed mechanisms of KT were well explained and a welcome addition.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Rosner
Department, University & Country	International College of Applied Kinesiology, USA