

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78878
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL AND MORPHOMETRICAL ANALYSIS OF DRY HUMAN SCAPHOID BONE IN SOUTH INDIAN POPULATION AND ITS CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cite the reference for page 2 line 59 2. Kindly check the publications details. Are these all publications authored by the manuscript authors? Refer to page 2 lines 62-63. 3. Mention the company of the instrument (digital vernier callipers.) along with least count of the instrument 4. The measurements average should be taken rather than observed by two individuals. 5. Compute the percentages of the morphological features mentioned in the result section. 6. Use statistical test to compute the difference between right and left measurements. Refer to page 4 line 109 7. For table 1 mention the SI unit of the measurements. Also write measurements upto 2 decimal point. 8. Kindly incorporate the comparison of morphological and morphometry of scaphoid from the previous studies with the present study 9. Add the figure/ picture of the of morphological and morphometry features of scaphoid mentioned in the present study 10. The discussion portion should briefly mention the result of the present study. Kindly reframe the lines 126-137 11. The discussion needs to be written again. The authors should read these publications for the discussion portion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Ceri, N., Korman, E., Gunal, I. and Tetik, S., 2004. The morphological and morphometric features of the scaphoid. <i>Journal of Hand Surgery</i>, 29(4), pp.393-398. ➤ Purushothama, C., Sarda, R.K., Konuri, A., Tamang, B.K., Gupta, C. and Murlimanju, B.V., 2011. Morphological and morphometric features of scaphoid bone in north eastern population, India. <i>Nepal Med Coll J</i>, 13(1), pp.20-23. ➤ Heinzelmann, A.D., Archer, G. and Bindra, R.R., 2007. Anthropometry of the human scaphoid. <i>The Journal of hand surgery</i>, 32(7), pp.1005-1008. ➤ Khullar, M., Chaudhary, P. and Singh, S., 2019. Morphological and morphometric study of dry scaphoid bone in the north indian population. <i>Int J Anat Res</i>, 7(2.1), pp.6361-6369. ➤ Chandra Philip, X., Prabhavathy, G. and Bilodi, A.K., 2014. Study of anatomical variations of scaphoid bone and its clinical importance. <i>J Evid Based Med Healthc</i>, 1, pp.270-8. 12. Kindly cite only relevant publications with special focus on scaphoid bone 13. The entire manuscript needs English language grammar and spelling check. 	
Minor REVISION comments	none	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	The authors are advised to compute anatomical dimensions of the various parts of scaphoids such as of scaphoid as a whole (Maximal length, Axis length, Maximum width, Anteroposterior intra scaphoid angle), Of waist (present or absent, Width, Thickness, Circumference) and Of tubercle (present or absent, Shape conical or pyramidal, Primary height, . Secondary height, Circumference, Sulcus for flexor carpi radialis present or absent, Ridge for scaphocapitate interosseous ligament present or absent)	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suchita Rawat
Department, University & Country	Delhi University, India