

Original Research Article

MORPHOLOGICAL AND MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF MASTOID PROCESS AND MASTOID NOTCH IN DRY HUMAN SKULLS AND ITS CLINICAL APPLICATIONS

[MORPHOMETRIC STUDY OF THE MASTOID PROCESS AND NOTCH IN DRY HUMAN SKULLS]

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mastoid process is a pyramidal bony projection . It is located in the posterior inferior region of the temporal bone. Mastoid notch is on the medial side of the mastoid process. Clinical significance causing infection in [Infection of] the middle ear spreading [could spread] to the mastoid area causing mastoiditis. The aim of the study is to determine the morphometric and morphological analysis of mastoid process and mastoid notch in dry human skull and its [their] clinical implication.

Materials and Methods: The present study was taken from [??] the department of Anatomy in Saveetha Dental College and Hospital by taking 72 dry human skulls. Digital vernier caliper was used to measure the mastoid process and mastoid notch of different parameters. The data was measured and analyzed statistically , using paired sample ‘t’ tests.

Results: The present study shows 0.641 significance in the right and left length of the mastoid process which is not significant. Right breadth and left breadth of the mastoid process of 0.007 and mastoid notch of right and left length 0.00 are significant. {Please rephrase this paragraph }

Conclusion: It concludes that the mastoid process and mastoid notch have varied significant differences in the dry human skulls. This study will be helpful in mastoid surgeries .

Keywords: Skull bones, Temporal bone, Mastoid process, Mastoid notch, Sex differentiation {Please mention something about sex differences in the abstract }.

INTRODUCTION

The posterior side [part] of the temporal bone is the mastoid. The conical projection of the mastoid bone is the mastoid process. Behind the external acoustic meatus the mastoid process is located. The parietomastoid and occipitomastoid sutures connect [articulate] the parietal and occipital bones with the mastoid temporal bone. Anteriorly it [the mastoid bone] is fused with the descending process of the squamous temporal bone inferior to the supramastoid crest. It has a rough outer surface and it has grooves and a notch on the inner side (1). The phenotypic difference between the male and female is found in the mastoid process and it is unrhythmic (2). It is the most secured part because of its anatomical position at basolateral (3). Mastoid region always helps in the determination of the sex (4). The median region that has a deep groove of the mastoid process is the mastoid notch (5). It acts as a potential landmark for locating the sigmoid sinus junction. The clinical implication of this mastoid process is mastoiditis, which is caused by middle ear infection and it gives damage to the mastoid bone (6).

Previous studies shows [show] that mastoid process helps in the determination of the sex (7). Mastoid process determines the shape variation of male and female (8). Ideally in the mastoid process the male skull is larger than the female skull (9). On the basis of dicriminant [discriminant] function analysis the sex was determined by mastoid process (10). Morphometric [Morphometry] and morphology of mastoid process and mastoid notch is [are] needed to find any anatomical variations as there is less number of work done in South India on this topic. Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has [has been] translated into high quality publications (11–18),(19),(20),(21),(22,23), (24),(25),(26–30) [(11-30)]. The main aim of the study was [All of your previous tenses are present????!!!] to determine the morphological and morphometric analysis of mastoid process and mastoid notch in dry human skulls and its clinical implications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed in 72 dry human skulls taken from the department of Anatomy in Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Chennai . Pros of the study is 72 dry human skulls [why this repetition, you just mentioned this] are examined and the skulls which were damaged or deformed were excluded from the study. Investigator and Principal investigator are the people involved in this study. Minimize sampling bias is Random sampling . With the help of the Digital [digital] vernier caliper it was used to measure the length and breadth of the right and left side of the skull in the mastoid process and the length of the right and left side of the skull in the mastoid notch [mastoid processes and mastoid notches]. All the data was measured and [measurements were] analyzed statistically in [using] SPSS version 23.0, using paired sample ‘t’ tests.

RESULTS

The morphological and morphometric analysis of mastoid process and mastoid notch was found and analysed. [Ignore this statement: analysis and analysed!!!!] The mean right length of the mastoid process was $31.34\text{mm} \pm 0.37\text{mm}$. The mean right breadth of the mastoid process was $16.54\text{mm} \pm 1.65\text{mm}$. The mean left length of the mastoid process was $32.46\text{mm} \pm 1.31\text{mm}$. The mean left breadth of the mastoid process was $16.08\text{mm} \pm 0.99$.



Figure 1: Showing the mastoid process and mastoid notch in the skull. [Please point out these two structures on the skull]

Table 1: Shows the comparison between the right and left dimensions of mastoid process and mastoid notch. $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

Pairs	Significance
Pair 1 - Right length and left length of mastoid process	0.641
Pair 2 - Right breadth and left breadth of mastoid process	0.007
Pair 3 - Right and left mastoid notch [<u>Are they length and breadth?</u>]	0.00 {do you mean no difference?}

DISCUSSION

The result shows [The results of this study showed] that the right and left length of the mastoid process is not significant whereas the right and left breadth of the mastoid process is significant. The right and left mastoid notch is significant. [Please let someone experienced in statistics to rephrase these words]

From [In] the study of Chinna Nneka {Add year of investigation}, the length of the right mastoid process has [had] a maximum of 32.50mm and minimum of 24.38 mm. In the left length of the mastoid process it has {while the length of the left mastoid process had} a maximum of 31.84 mm and a minimum of 29.29mm (31). [;] whereas in [the current study it has a maximum of 31.76mm and a minimum of 30.90mm on the right side while 33.64mm and 30.06mm respectively on the left side, 31.76mm] this study it is about 31.76mm of maximum and

minimum of 30.90mm in the right length and maximum of 33.64mm and minimum of 30.06mm in the left length. When comparing the significance of right length and left length are significant at $p < 0.05$ whereas in this study it is $p > 0.05$ which is not significant. {Please rephrase the last statement}

From [In] the study of Fatma Alzhras {Add year of investigation}, the mastoid process length had a statistically highly significant difference of $p < 0.001$ (32) which was larger in males than the [Remove word] females Whereas [whereas] in this study the mastoid process length was $p > 0.05$ which is not significant in this case. From [In] the study of Harsha Bhayya [year of publication], the right and left length mastoid processes had mean values of 31.48mm and 30.92mm. From the right and left breadth of mastoid process had a mean value of 13.80mm and 13.60mm (33); the length [of the mastoid process] are [is the] best predictor for the [Remove] determination of the [Remove] sex. From this study the mean value of the right and left lengths of the mastoid processes is 31.34mm and 32.46mm, respectively. From the right and left breadths of the mastoid process has [have] a mean of 16.54mm and 16.08mm respectively in which the length is almost the same but the breadth is higher in this case [?????].

From [In] the study of Rajeev Kumar Chaudhary [year of publication], The mean of mastoid [Remove: a repetition!!!] length of the mastoid process was 27.50mm and the mean of mastoid process breadth was 10.63mm (34) which was much lesser when compared to this study of mean length 32.40mm and mean breadth of 16.08mm. The previous study has a significance of $p < 0.001$ for both length and breadth but in the case of this study the significance of right length $p > 0.05$ which is not significant and right breadth with $p < 0.05$ which is significant. From [In] the study of Maryna S [year?], the mastoid length showed a statistical difference of $p < 0.05$ which was significant(35) but from this study the mastoid process length was $p > 0.05$ which is not significant.

Limitations of the study: The limitation of this study is that there were few dry human skulls. The study was conducted and completed in a very short time.

Future scope: Further studies can be done with many more dry human skulls so more significant results would have been obtained.

CONCLUSION

The present study concludes that there is no difference in the mastoid process significance of both the right and left side of the skull but there is a difference in the mastoid notch of both the right and left side of the skull . Mastoid surgeries are needed to be handled carefully.

REFERENCES

1. N H, Hema N, Professor A, Department of Anatomy, Pgimsr EA, Rajajinagar, et al. Morphology and Morphometric Study of Mastoid Process in Human Dry Skulls with its Clinical Implications [Internet]. Vol. 8, Indian Journal of Anatomy. 2019. p. 35–40. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21088/ija.2320.0022.8119.7>
2. Manoonpol C, Plakornkul V. Sex determination using mastoid process measurement in Thais. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2012 Mar;95(3):423–9.
3. Kalmey JK, Rathbun TA. Sex determination by discriminant function analysis of the petrous portion of the temporal bone. *J Forensic Sci*. 1996 Sep;41(5):865–7.
4. Masotti S, Pasini A, Gualdi-Russo E. Sex determination in cremated human remains using the lateral angle of the pars petrosa ossis temporalis: is old age a limiting factor? *Forensic Sci Med Pathol*. 2019 Sep;15(3):392–8.
5. Li R, Qi L, Yu X, Li K, Bao G. Mastoid notch as a landmark for localization of the transverse-sigmoid sinus junction. *BMC Neurol*. 2020 Mar 27;20(1):111.
6. Spremo S, Udovčić B. Acute Mastoiditis in Children: Susceptibility Factors and Management [Internet]. Vol. 7, Bosnian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences. 2007. p. 129–33. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17305/bjbms.2007.3066>
7. Mishra R, Gupta M, Rajni, Adhikari SR. “ Morphometric Measurements of Mastoid Process for Gender Differentiation in Dried Skull .” 2019 [cited 2021 Mar 9]; Available from: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/%E2%80%9C-Morphometric-Measurements-of-Mastoid-Process-for-Mishra-Gupta/ba3d48022e82762293b0dfe20ba7a8ff8dae638f>
8. Hoshi H. Sex difference in the shape of the mastoid process in norma occipitalis and its importance to the sex determination of the human skull. *Okajimas Folia Anat Jpn*. 1962 Oct;38:309–13.
9. Thejeswar EP, Thenmozhi MS. Role of mastoid process in determination of sex [Internet].

Vol. 7, Research Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacodynamics. 2015. p. 76. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/2321-5836.2015.00016.6>

10. Nagaoka T, Shizushima A, Sawada J, Tomo S, Hoshino K, Sato H, et al. Sex determination using mastoid process measurements: standards for Japanese human skeletons of the medieval and early modern periods [Internet]. Vol. 116, Anthropological Science. 2008. p. 105–13. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1537/ase.070605>
11. Sekar D, Lakshmanan G, Mani P, Biruntha M. Methylation-dependent circulating microRNA 510 in preeclampsia patients. *Hypertens Res.* 2019 Oct;42(10):1647–8.
12. Princeton B, Santhakumar P, Prathap L. Awareness on Preventive Measures taken by Health Care Professionals Attending COVID-19 Patients among Dental Students. *Eur J Dent.* 2020 Dec;14(S 01):S105–9.
13. Logeshwari R, Rama Parvathy L. Generating logistic chaotic sequence using geometric pattern to decompose and recombine the pixel values. *Multimed Tools Appl.* 2020 Aug;79(31-32):22375–88.
14. Johnson J, Lakshmanan G, M B, R M V, Kalimuthu K, Sekar D. Computational identification of MiRNA-7110 from pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) ESTs: a new microRNA that links diabetes and PAH. *Hypertens Res.* 2020 Apr;43(4):360–2.
15. Paramasivam A, Priyadharsini JV, Raghunandhakumar S, Elumalai P. A novel COVID-19 and its effects on cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res.* 2020 Jul;43(7):729–30.
16. Pujari GRS, Subramanian V, Rao SR. Effects of *Celastrus paniculatus* Willd. and *Sida cordifolia* Linn. in Kainic Acid Induced Hippocampus Damage in Rats. *Ind J Pharm Educ.* 2019 Jul 3;53(3):537–44.
17. Rajkumar KV, Lakshmanan G, Sekar D. Identification of miR-802-5p and its involvement in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes.* 2020 Dec 15;11(12):567–71.
18. Ravisankar R, Jayaprakash P, Eswaran P, Mohanraj K, Vinitha G, Pichumani M. Synthesis, growth, optical and third-order nonlinear optical properties of glycine sodium nitrate single crystal for photonic device applications. *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron.* 2020 Oct;31(20):17320–31.
19. Wu S, Rajeshkumar S, Madasamy M, Mahendran V. Green synthesis of copper nanoparticles using *Cissus vitiginea* and its antioxidant and antibacterial activity against urinary tract infection pathogens. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol.* 2020 Dec;48(1):1153–8.
20. Vikneshan M, Saravanakumar R, Mangaiyarkarasi R, Rajeshkumar S, Samuel SR, Suganya M, et al. Algal biomass as a source for novel oral nano-antimicrobial agent. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* 2020 Dec;27(12):3753–8.
21. Alharbi KS, Fuloria NK, Fuloria S, Rahman SB, Al-Malki WH, Javed Shaikh MA, et al. Nuclear factor-kappa B and its role in inflammatory lung disease. *Chem Biol Interact.* 2021

Aug 25;345:109568.

22. Rao SK, Kalai Priya A, Manjunath Kamath S, Karthick P, Renganathan B, Anuraj S, et al. Unequivocal evidence of enhanced room temperature sensing properties of clad modified Nd doped mullite Bi₂Fe₄O₉ in fiber optic gas sensor [Internet]. Vol. 838, Journal of Alloys and Compounds. 2020. p. 155603. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.155603>
23. Bhavikatti SK, Karobari MI, Zainuddin SLA, Marya A, Nadaf SJ, Sawant VJ, et al. Investigating the Antioxidant and Cytocompatibility of Mimusops elengi Linn Extract over Human Gingival Fibroblast Cells. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 Jul 4;18(13). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18137162>
24. Marya A, Karobari MI, Selvaraj S, Adil AH, Assiry AA, Rabaan AA, et al. Risk Perception of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Implementation of Various Protective Measures by Dentists Across Various Countries. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 May 29;18(11). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18115848>
25. Barma MD, Muthupandiyani I, Samuel SR, Amaechi BT. Inhibition of Streptococcus mutans, antioxidant property and cytotoxicity of novel nano-zinc oxide varnish. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2021 Jun;126:105132.
26. Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. In silico validation of the non-antibiotic drugs acetaminophen and ibuprofen as antibacterial agents against red complex pathogens. *J Periodontol*. 2019 Dec;90(12):1441–8.
27. Priyadharsini JV, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. In silico analysis of virulence genes in an emerging dental pathogen *A. baumannii* and related species [Internet]. Vol. 94, Archives of Oral Biology. 2018. p. 93–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2018.07.001>
28. Uma Maheswari TN, Nivedhitha MS, Ramani P. Expression profile of salivary micro RNA-21 and 31 in oral potentially malignant disorders. *Braz Oral Res*. 2020 Feb 10;34:e002.
29. Gudipani RK, Alam MK, Patil SR, Karobari MI. Measurement of the Maximum Occlusal Bite Force and its Relation to the Caries Spectrum of First Permanent Molars in Early Permanent Dentition. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2020 Dec 1;44(6):423–8.
30. Chaturvedula BB, Muthukrishnan A, Bhuvanaghan A, Sandler J, Thiruvengkatachari B. Dens invaginatus: a review and orthodontic implications. *Br Dent J*. 2021 Mar;230(6):345–50.
31. Nneka OC. Sex determination using mastoid process length in dry skulls of Nigerian population. 2020 Jun 17 [cited 2021 Mar 9]; Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342247287_Sex_determination_using_mastoid_process_length_in_dry_skulls_of_Nigerian_population
32. Allam FAFAB, Fatma Alzhrara Fouad Abdel, Mohammad Fouad Abdel. Sex discrimination of mastoid process by anthropometric measurements using multidetector computed

tomography in Egyptian adult population [Internet]. Vol. 6, Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences. 2016. p. 361–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejfs.2016.05.001>

33. Tejasvi MLA, Bhayya H, Jayalakshmi B, Reddy M. Craniometric assessment of gender using mastoid process [Internet]. Vol. 30, Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology. 2018. p. 52. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jiaomr.jiaomr_127_17
34. Chaudhary RK, Mahajan A, Piplani M, Khurana BS. Determination of Sex from Mastoid Dimensions among North Indians [Internet]. Vol. 19, Medico-Legal Update. 2019. p. 65. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-1283.2019.00014.8>
35. Steyn M, İşcan MY. Sexual dimorphism in the crania and mandibles of South African whites. Forensic Sci Int. 1998 Nov 30;98(1-2):9–16.

UNDER PEER REVIEW