

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78877
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL AND MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF MASTOID PROCESS AND MASTOID NOTCH IN DRY HUMAN SKULLS AND ITS CLINICAL APPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No data are explained here about the morphology of the mastoid process and notch apart from their dimensions and location. Therefore, I prefer to eliminate the words {Morphological and} from the title particularly if we know that morphometry includes morphology. Again, nothing was mentioned about their clinical significance except for two words: sinusitis and surgeries without any details even in the review. It is better to change the title into: [Morphometric Study of the Mastoid Process and Notch in Dry Human Skulls] - When we deal with the results of any work, they should be written in the past tense. - Statistical results must be written in a more convenient way. - Otherwise, many suggestions and corrections are added in a highlighted way which I think the author (s) have to follow. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some scientific and linguistic words were suggested to be changed. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heshmat Sabet Wassef Haroun
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt