

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78838
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of dental students towards the usage of online assessment during Covid -19 pandemic.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Minor space, grammar and spelling corrections have already been made in the manuscript by me. If possible, kindly do try to improve the language to a much more international platform. Kindly do provide an explanation as to why only 270 completed questionnaire were received back when it was sent to 382 dental students as a link and what was the statistical method chosen to check the number of students that would be required to participate in the study as the sample size seems to be too small.</p> <p>If the answers would have made mandatory, incomplete questionnaire could have been avoided. While framing the questions, it's always important to provide one type of options for all questions and follow the same format. Either all options could be yes/no or other ways. What are the statistical method used to check all the answers.</p> <p>Kindly point of the limitations of the study as well and include at the end of the discussion. Overall the idea of the study could have been much more improvised by asking questions regarding how the students manage to learn theory without practical knowledge and dealing with patients in real.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dilshi Ishaan
Department, University & Country	India