

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78838
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of dental students towards the usage of online assessment during Covid -19 pandemic.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I. Comments on the writing and content of the article</p> <p>Abstract subsection</p> <p>(a) In the Abstract subsection (on page 1, line 2 to line 4), there is a double writing of the research objectives. Please, delete one of them or make a revision. Thank you.</p> <p>(b) Consider improving the background argument in the abstract subtitles, which reinforces why it is necessary to assess students' perceptions, attitudes, and readiness for online assessment.</p> <p>(c) Description of research objectives: is it synchronous online or asynchronous online and also online assessment for theoretical or practical courses (laboratory) of the dental study program? Please add a description in the abstract as well as in the Introduction subsection</p> <p>In the Keyword</p> <p>It is uncommon for phrases or words in keywords to be followed by an abbreviation (acronym) of the phrase or word. So consider removing phrases or acronyms in keywords. Note: for the words online assessment (OA), consider replacing it with online assessment or OA.</p> <p>In the Introduction subtitle</p> <p>(a) Gap analysis in your article of why research needs to be done is inadequate. It is necessary to analyze what the previous researchers have done and show the gaps that need a solution for this research. So show the importance of this research. Please, complete it.</p> <p>(b) Your article's state of the art needs to show that previous related work is different from your current research. So you show that your article has the power and novelty of research that has never been studied before. Please, add it in the introduction subsection.</p> <p>(c) There are two types of online learning: synchronous online and asynchronous online. You must state in your manuscript what type of online you mean in your sentence narrative (on page 2, fourth paragraph). Please consider adding it.</p> <p>In the Methodology subsection</p> <p>(a) Validity and reliability tests must be carried out on the survey instrument (questionnaire) that is built. Your survey instrument consists of questions about Guttman, Likert, and open-ended questions. Please, complete a description of the results of your survey instrument's validity and reliability test, especially the survey instrument with a Likert scale of measurement.</p> <p>(b) The quality and legibility of the presentation of the Figure must be good resolution and clear. Therefore, please fix figure 1. Thank you</p> <p>In Results subsection</p> <p>(a) Each figure must be accompanied by a description (explanation or description in words clearly and in detail). Please, provide a description for graph 2, graph 3, and other graphs that have not been described.</p> <p>(b) It is not uncommon for reputable scientific articles to use the word graph to refer to figures. Please, replace the word graph with figure. For example: replace the word Graph 1: with Fig. 1. to represent figure 1.</p> <p>In the Conclusion subsection</p>	

Review Form 1.6

- (a) The research conclusion should also enclose the novelty of the work/study produced. Please, include it
- (b) Please point out the weaknesses of this research (work) that has been done for suggestions for future work/research.

In References subtitle

- (a) I don't see you using references published by the Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. The Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International is an open-access journal, so you can quickly get the latest references in PDF files and related works to your article topic. So please cite at least one article of the Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International.
Remember: The number of articles cited in international journal articles is generally at least 15 articles. In other words, if more than 15 scientific articles are cited, it means better.
- (b) Reference article number 1 in the Reference List:
 - * The article was not found when searched with Google. Is it a book? If it is a book, it should also be able to search via Google. Please double-check the existence of the article.
 - * Pay attention to the format of writing reference number 1; it seems that it has not followed the correct format of writing references. Please, fix it.
- (c) Please, remove reference number 7 or replace this reference number 7 with reference to other sources because these references are not suitable for use as a reference source in scientific articles.
Note: Sources of reference references used in reputable scientific articles are scientific journal articles, seminar proceedings articles, and books. While references taken from the internet in the form of emails, individual works/perceptions, discussion materials, or the like that are not seminar articles, workshop articles, scientific journal articles, or proceeding articles are not qualified to be used as references.
- (d) In the reference subtitle, the writing of the name of the journal article in references 14 and 15 is wrong. The name of the journal article should not be written in all capital letters but follow the journal reference style, which is a combination of uppercase and lowercase letters. Please, revise it.

II. Grammar, punctuation, or structure mistakes in the article

This paper has several errors in word writing, grammar/language structure, and punctuation, including the following: sentences;

In the article Title

- (a) There seems to be an unnecessary space in the word Covid -19 (before the punctuation mark). Consider removing those spaces.
- (b) There seems to be an unnecessary dot at the end of the title phrase. Consider deleting the dot

In the abstract subsection

- (a) In some cases, you use a capital letter for Covid-19 (For example, in the Abstract subsection, line 1, it says COVID-19, not Covid-19) but not in other cases (for example, in the article title and in the Introduction subsection, in the paragraph first and the first line). Both styles are acceptable, but it's best to consistently use only one style throughout your document
- (b) You capitalized **Pandemic** in some cases (For example, in the Introduction subchapter, page 2, paragraph 4, line 7, it is written Pandemic, not pandemic) but not in others. Both styles are acceptable, but it's best to use just one style throughout your document
- (c) On the fifth line in the subsection abstract, it appears that **self constructed** is missing a hyphen. Consider adding the hyphen(s) (or replace it with self-constructed).

Review Form 1.6

- (d) On the eighth line, it seems that preposition use may be incorrect here. Consider replacing the word "students" with "of students". Note: Please, Revise other sentences throughout the manuscript which have the same error
- (e) On the eighth line, it appears that you are missing a hyphen with the compound number **One fourth**. Consider adding a hyphen (or replace it with the word One-fourth).
- (f) On the ninth line, it seems that this sentence is missing a comma. Consider adding a comma before the word while (, while).
- (g) On the tenth line and eleventh line, the noun phrase **online assessment** seems to be missing a determiner before it. Consider replacing the word online with the online or an online
- (h) On the tenth line, the noun phrase **overall positive attitude** seems to be missing a determiner before it. Consider adding "an" before the phrase overall positive attitude (an overall positive attitude).

In the Introduction Subsection

- (a) In the 1st paragraph, the second line, the word discontinuation doesn't seem to fit this context. So, consider replacing it with a different one (Replace the word discontinuation with discontinuing).
- (b) In the 2nd paragraph, lines 1 to 2, this sentence may be unclear or hard to follow. Consider rephrasing with the sentence As a result of the students and faculty confinement in their homes, the traditional paper and pen examinations in the examination hall were not feasible
- (c) In the 3rd paragraph, lines 3 to 4, **this** sentence may be unclear or hard to follow. Consider rephrasing with the sentence This boosted the development of online learning platforms and facilities to minimize disruptions in learning
- (d) In the 5th paragraph, line 1, it seems that preposition use may be incorrect here. Please add a word **in** before the word 1998 (**in** 1998),
- (e) In the 5th paragraph, line 1, the noun phrase **traditional method** seems to be missing a determiner before it. Consider adding "the" before "traditional methods."

In the Results subsection

- (a) In the 3^r paragraph, line 1, the noun phrase online assessment seems to be missing a determiner before it. Consider adding "**the**" before "**online**" (**the online**).
- (b) **In the 3^r paragraph, lines 3 to 4**, This sentence is wrong in grammar. Replace the sentence with this version: The present survey leads to identifying the several advantages of online assessment during the COVID-19 Pandemic.
- (c) **In the 3^r paragraph, 6th line, in the phrase** "...for technical issues", it seems that preposition use may be incorrect here. Please replace the word for with on.

In the Discussion subsection

- (a) In the 1st paragraph, line 1, the noun phrase **perception** seems to be missing a determiner before it. Consider adding the before perception (the perception).
- (b) In the 1st paragraph, line 8, **gets** seems to be in the wrong tense. Replace "gets" with "got"
- (c) In the 6th paragraph, line 4, it seems that you are missing a verb. Consider adding "**is**" before "most" (**is most**)

In the Conclusion subsection

The sentence in the 2nd paragraph, lines 3 to 5, maybe unclear or hard to follow. Consider rephrasing. Replace with this version: Academic institutions can prepare a step-by-step guide that can guide the teachers and students on how to access and use various e-learning tools and how to cover major curriculum content via these technologies, thereby reducing digital illiteracy

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Anggrawam
Department, University & Country	Bumigora University, Indonesia