

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78814
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and validation of ultraviolet and reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography method for estimation of Cilnidipine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Page 1, abstract, methodology: what is "clini"?</p> <p>Citation needed for p. 1 introduction sec., second paragraph about various spectroscopic methods</p> <p>Sec. 2.2.1 more details needed</p> <p>Sec. 2.3.2. details on the column are given wrong</p> <p>Sec. 2.4.6 are you sure it was acetonitrile?</p> <p>Sec. 3.1 how optimum solubility was determined?</p> <p>Fig. 1 unnecessary if table 1 is given</p> <p>Fig. 2 add blank</p> <p>Table 2 not necessary</p> <p>Fig. 3 improve quality and caption</p> <p>Fig. 4 not necessary if sec. 3.3.2. is given in this particular way</p> <p>Sec. 3.3.6 LOQ cannot be above first concentration from the liner dynamic range!</p> <p>Citation 4 doesn't refer to cilnidipine!</p> <p>Way of citation of 4-10 is somehow inadequate in the scientific manuscript</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Sec. 2.2.2. style of citation</p> <p>Table 3 and 4, please reconsider number of significant digits in the second column</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Nothing relevant in compare to cited ref 3, the only significant change I can see is the slight change of mobile phase (by 10%) and UV wavelength by 10 nm, which results 200 times higher sensitivity</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dariusz Guziejewski
Department, University & Country	University of Lodz, Poland