

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78811
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN PREGNANCY, OUR EXPERIENCE AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract is too precise and more like an introduction - Abstract should have an introduction, a brief about the study, a conclusion, and keywords - Background or introduction is very precise again, it should have the incidence, morbidity and mortality associated with it, and what kind of study it is retrospective or prospective. - Methods – Should have inclusion and exclusion criteria, like “all patients diagnosed with acute appendicitis during pregnancy and underwent surgery were included in the study. Exclusion criteria can be the patients with acute abdomen not diagnosed with appendicitis or the ones who didn't undergo surgery.” - Results – Total number of cases should be mentioned, The sentences can be formed in a better way like – “The patients presented in different stages of pregnancy – First trimester (?), second trimester(?) and third trimester(?)” Also, the presentation during stage of pregnancy - data given by the author adds up to 15, but total number of cases is 25. - Author mentions about the type of surgeries but fails to mention in which trimester laparoscopic surgery was done and in which trimester is it indicated or contraindicated. According to studies, laparoscopy is safe during pregnancy, so why only 3 cases were done in this study. - The author can also give literature references to support his decision to do open surgeries. - Data should also have a few tables 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Review of literature should be more elaborate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One important factor is why rectal examination was done for tenderness in pregnant women, new studies and meta-analysis have revealed that acute appendicitis cannot be ruled in or out through DRE. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wasim M Dar
Department, University & Country	Manipal Hospital, Bangalore, India