

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78807
Title of the Manuscript:	MILK FORTIFICATION: A NEED OF THE HOUR IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article / <b>Systematic Review</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title : general, suggest a more specific title : Fortified milk with vitamins A and D</li> <li>Manuscripts are compiled based on a systematic review of articles or reference data so that sufficient support citations are needed in the review</li> <li>Abstract: added the urgency and purpose of this research Keywords: revised and added vitamin D</li> <li>Introduction: added novelty and urgency to this review</li> <li>The discussion about the need for fortification of milk with vitamins A and D in India is so minimal that it needs to be added with updated reference citations.</li> <li>References: minimal number, suggestions are added to the number of updated references because there are still ancient references (number 1,23,5)</li> <li>Conclusion: revised more systematically according to the purpose of writing.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Revision of writing according to the guidelines, namely: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Tables are titled</li> <li>- Writing tables according to writing guidelines</li> <li>- Consistency of writing fonts</li> <li>• Grammarly writing check (yellow highlight)</li> <li>• Paraphrase sentences to reduce similarity (green highlight)</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript is acceptable with major revision	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agnes Sri Harti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kusuma Husada University of Surakarta, Indonesia</b>