

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78801
Title of the Manuscript:	PREPARATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF ZINC OXIDE NANOPARTICLES (ABIES WEBBIANA) BASED MOUTHWASH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Correct any word that has red color (need space)	
Optional/General comments	<p>In abstract .introduction . remove against certain microorganisms and replace by the name of microorganisms like S.aurus ,C.albicans</p> <p>1.introduction give the name of nanoparticles before abbreviation NPs</p> <p>2.material and methods</p> <p>In the method of preparation, there is no any information present regarding the procedure of mouth wash preparation where was conducted , in which department or lab. , in which college , in which university, and according to which procedure (this must be written). Also there is no any information present about preparation of Abies webbiana leaf extract.</p> <p>As fresh leaves of A.webbiana was washed with tap water followed thoroughly by distilled water, then air dryness (at which room temper. and duration of dryness), then grinding to fine powder with mortar, then the powder was mixed with dist water.....and so on</p> <p>No any picture or photo regard the preparation of zinc oxide nanoparticle mouth wash or zone of inhibition as compared to standard</p> <p>3. Discussion . The searcher didn't give any explanation for the more effects of mouth wash on S.mutance and C.albicans, similar effect on Saureus , ad less effect on E .faecalis</p> <p>4.Conclusion</p> <p>The six line of conclusion (in red color) is not correspond to the results. In which the mouth wash has similar effect on E..faecallis but actually it has less effect on E.faecallis than standard .</p> <p>5.All red word must be corrected , and if it was underline mean it must be removed and replace by another word or staments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chenar Anwar
Department, University & Country	Hawler Medical Institute, Iraq