

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78795
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical applications of Micro albuminuria
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-The review discussed the relationship between albuminuria and bad cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and hypertension. However, authors discussed this review by very old references while they could use recent references like for example https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2013.490, https://www.cureus.com/articles/48006-prevalence-of-microalbuminuria-in-type-2-diabetes-mellitus#:~:text=DOI%3A%2010.7759/cureus.12318, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11369331/#:~:text=doi%3A%2010.1186/s12882%2D021%2D02290%2D5. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11369331/#:~:text=doi%3A%2010.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08253.%20eCollection%202021%20Nov. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11369331/#:~:text=doi%3A%2010.1007/s40620%2D020%2D00735%2Dy.%20Epub%202020%20Apr%2023. The review article references should be at least in the last five year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Conclusion contains undiscussed data in the review regarding the effect and methods of reduction of albuminuria. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs language revision. The references are not uniformly cited (please revise and cite all the references in a uniform system) Some references are wrongly cited</p>	
Optional/General comments	Please follow the revised version of the manuscript and respond th the comments point by point	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Randa Salah Gomaa Mahmoud
Department, University & Country	Zagazig Univeristy, Egypt