

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78761
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON THE HISTOLOGICAL TYPES OF HAEMANGIOMA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The subject of scientific work is extremely relevant, has practical and theoretical significance, because really haemangiomas are benign tumours which arise from proliferation of endothelial cells surrounding blood filled cavities. Hemangiomas remain to be the most commonly occurring benign tumours of infancy and childhood. This topic has a scientific nature, the use of methods correspond to the methodological level of research.</p> <p>2. All material is presented in accordance with the requirements of the journal, in accordance with the style and scientific terminology.</p> <p>3. The level of statistical processing of the material is satisfactory, the presence of modern techniques is appropriate.</p> <p>4. Also carries a significant scientific novelty formed by the author's prognosis of the pathology.</p> <p>5. The research is conducted at a modern level and meets the requirements of modern medicine.</p> <p>6. The conclusions correspond to the purpose of scientific work.</p> <p>7. Literature is designed according to the requirements of the literature.</p> <p>8. Reviewer's conclusion:</p> <p>- recommended to publish in a journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oleksandr Slobodian
Department, University & Country	Bukovinian State Medical University, Ukraine